

Latimer, Becky

From: Katie Wolfe ·
Sent: Friday, January 15, 2021 9:16 AM
To: PSC_Contact
Subject: [External] Dominion Solar Proposal - Please reject it!

Hello,

I'm writing to strongly encourage the Commission to reject Dominion Energy's proposed changes to solar energy (docket 2020-229-E).

As a current solar customer in Columbia these changes are terrible for my family's investment in a clean energy future, rendering a solid financial decision a liability. We purchased solar panels just last year based on our interest in preserving the environment and choosing a cost-effective way to get our energy. The proposed changes could make it more expensive for us to get our energy off the grid from the sun than from Dominion, which is a distasteful, unconscionable swindle by Dominion. More importantly it makes our contribution to a more sustainable future for our children much harder to maintain.

In addition, this will devastate the industry in our state and ruin livelihoods in an already challenging economy. As a society, we need to make these types of changes for the environment now. In order to accomplish them, we can't issue financial penalties to the people getting the jobs done.

We're seeing the switch to a more sustainable future happen in the automobile industry. It's time to ensure Dominion is part of the solution in the energy industry. Please reject this proposal that will only hurt South Carolinians both now and in the future.

Katie Wolfe

Columbia SC

Sent from my iPad

Latimer, Becky

From: Latimer, Becky
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 8:48 AM
To: Katie Wolfe
Subject: FW: [External] Re: 2020-229-E

Dear Katie Wolfe:

These additional comments will be added to the docket 2020-229-E.

Thank you
 Becky Latimer

From: Katie Wolfe <katiesnyder@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 15, 2021 4:57 PM
To: Latimer, Becky <Becky.Latimer@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: [External] Re: 2020-229-E

Hello Becky,
 Thanks for your response.

I would just like to add to my comments - if possible. If the PSC considers approving this proposal, I feel there should at least be a grandfathering process where those who bought into solar energy prior to these egregious rate increases are exempt from them. There is no reason that solar customers should have to pay exorbitant rates for producing their own energy.

Thanks,
 Katie Wolfe

Sent from my iPad

On Jan 15, 2021, at 9:37 AM, Latimer, Becky <Becky.Latimer@psc.sc.gov> wrote:

Dear Katie Wolfe:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your Letter of Protest/Comments to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. Your Letter of Protest/Comments will be placed in the Protest File of the Docket listed below and on the Commission's Website at www.psc.sc.gov.

- Docket No. 2020-229-E - Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated's Establishment of a Solar Choice Metering Tariff Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-40-20 (See Docket No. 2019-182-E)

A Protestant is an individual objecting on the ground of private or public interest to the approval of an Application, Petition, Motion or other matters which the Commission may have under consideration. A Protestant may offer sworn testimony but cannot cross-examine witnesses offered by other parties.

According to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, filing a Protest does not make you a Party of Record. A Protestant desiring to become an Intervenor (i.e., a Party of Record) in a proceeding before the Commission may file a Petition for Intervention within the time prescribed by the Commission.

You can follow this Docket and other daily filings made at the Commission by subscribing to the Commission's Email Subscription at this link: <https://dms.psc.sc.gov/Web/Email>; or you can specifically follow Docket No. 2020-229-E at this link: <https://dms.psc.sc.gov/App/Dockets/Detail/117571>

If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Becky Latimer